

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/059,727	01/29/2002	Luan Tran	MCT.0004C1US 7665		
7590 10/01/2003		EXAMINER			
Timothy N. Trop			` FENTY, JESSE A		
TROP, PRUNER, HU & MILES Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8554 Katy Freeway Houston, TX 77024			2815 DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/059,727		TRAN ET AL.					
, lavioury, tollo	Examiner	W	Art Unit					
	Jesse A. Fenty		2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov r sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either	a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	=							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements.	than SIX MONTHS from t S FILED WITHIN TWO M late on which the petition tension and the corresponded statutory period for repl	he mailing date of MONTHS OF THE under 37 CFR 1.1 ing amount of the y originally set in t	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan		•						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for a	ppeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a correspondin	g number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if su	bmitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §			sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not dire	cted SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims of the proposed amendment o	• • • •		•	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: 9.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-25</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved o	r b)	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
10. Other: EDDIE LEE								
			/ISORY PATENT EXAI INDILOGY CENTER 28					

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited references (Aoki/Chu) cannot be used because the references "teach away" from the instant application. However, MPEP section 2145(X)(D)(1) states that, "[A] known or obvious composition does not become patentable simply because it has been described as somewhat inferior to some other product for the same use." Aoki chooses an open bit line configuration rather than folded, to achieve the desired size dimension, based on what was then known in the art. In the semiconductor technology field, where device dimensions continue to get smaller and smaller, the size dimension in this application cannot be considered the sole determining factor for patentability; as additional references have been cited (e.g. Keeth et al. (U.S. 2003/0071295) that demonstrate the claimed dimension was known to the prior art at the time of filing.